

NOV. 20. 2001 2:17PM

ARENT FOX DC2

NO. 1014 P. 1

Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D. C. 20036-5339

(202) 857-6000

Fax: (202) 638-4810/(202) 638-4808

E-MAIL: arentfox.com

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

Date: November 20, 2001

To: Examiner Michael V. Meller
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No.: 703-746-5254

Re: Proposed Amendments to 09/481,572
Non-Extendible Due Date: December 21, 2001
U.S. Patent Application No.: 09/481,572
Inventor: SHAN et al.
Our Ref.: 108061-09013

From: D. Daniel Dzara, II
Arent Fox, et al.

**YOU SHOULD RECEIVE 2 PAGES, INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET. PLEASE
ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE AND CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES BEING SENT.**

INFORMAL COMMUNICATION

Dear Examiner Meller:

Further to our telephone call of November 19, 2001, please find below a list of our proposed amendments to the case. We believe that these amendments should overcome most, if not all of your concerns regarding the present application. We look forward to speaking with you next week.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to call us.

Very truly yours,

NOV 20 2001 10.


D. Daniel Dzara, II

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via regular U.S. mail. Thank you.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

112, 2nd paragraph, rejection of Claim 3: We propose deleting the term "brain aging."

103(a) rejection of Claims 1-17 & 22: We propose amending the claims to recite "consisting essentially of" rather than "comprising."

Finally, we would like to discuss the 102(b) rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4-17, and 22.